Don't of bounds

## DEPARTMENT OFFICE OF CHARLOTTESVILLE OH HILL PUBLIC DIRECTOR WORKS

TO: GARY B. O'CONNELL, DEPUTY CITY MANAGER

FROM: JUDITH M. MUELLER, DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC WORKS

DATE: FEBRUARY 3, 1993

RE: CTS/UTS COORDINATION

potential riders of CTS and UTS. study has recommended that the systems be joined. Following the most recent study in 1991, transfer system was instituted between the two systems which has proved to be very successful. transit officials from both systems began discussions in this direction. In August 1991, a free the issue of merging the Charlottesville Transit Service and University Transit Service. Each At the same time a combined route schedule was developed, printed and distributed to riders and Over the past fifteen years, a number of studies have been done by outside consultants examining

proposal. The final proposal would take nine months to a year for completion and would include the following: bodies. Final decisions would not be made until the study team has developed an implementation systems. The management teams of CTS and UTS are now proposing to move toward merger of the two They are seeking concurrence to the merger concept from the respective governing

ldentification of travel patterns in the City and urban Albemarle County for bus riders and for other modes of travel

Development of alternatives for routing and scheduling

Proposal for organizational structure

Five year projections for both operating and capital budgets

Identification of funding sources

to have the approval of the governing bodies before March 15 so there will be sufficient time to project and other staff work. Please let me know if you need additional information. We hope the state to pay 95% of the salary for an intern. decision is made to proceed, Helen Poore, Transit Manager is proposing to seek a grant from apply to the state for the internship. For the most part, the necessary work would be accomplished by existing staff. However, if a This individual would assist with the study

onto program between CTS and UTS was for the first time, allowed In January of 1991, transit officials from both the City Charlottesville and the University of Virginia began discussions coordinate services provided to the community. A free trans the management teams from CTS consolidation of the two systems. Concurrently, public. from Both of these actions þ time, combined rovided to the community. A recorded to the community. schedule was developed and distributed to CTS and have proved to be successful, and UTS are interested in fu in further

were to take place: are several potential benefits to a consolidation, under the aegis the aegis of the a transportation authority, regional community

service, .... mass jurisdiction. determines University of service, the congestion, effectively addressing restion, increased demand Improved transportation the Regional Transit Planning - Convirginia makes decisions relating City location of CTS bus routes ----The result is a fragmented approach to meeting the result is a barrier stion needs of the community and is a barrier stion as traffic the of City limits Charlottesville for and Ann parking Albemarle Currently, and to on CTS poor County sud snq the

An authority would represent a formal, regional commitment to coordinate transit services more effectively and efficiently, resulting in a reduction in vehicle traffic and congestion. regional commitment

- employment sites (the University of Virginia Downtown businesses, shopping centers, malls, etc.). attract more in Ridership riders to the system and to area businesses i The expanded network would likely grounds,
- capital purchases, realize the benefit bidding for higher numbers of vehicles. vehicles purchased at once, the greater manufacturers and the lower the cost per could Reduction in Costs - By joining the systems, CTS ald reduce overall overhead costs, and, through benefit per vehicle. and, through response Generally, associated from combined the more with UTS

In addition, services such as tire contracts, shop equipment, fuels and fluids would be purchased in greater quantities on a single contract. Again, this larger purchase should lead contractor. lower cost, or at the very least, better response from the

University is significant currently has access government, portions considered <u>Federal</u> and of to a private entity in the eyes therefore is federal operating and capital costs. and state Funding ineligible for funding. Sources money of с t the The pay CIS

- subsidies for operating and capital costs. internally, leading to much higher fees to the users of UTS. An authority would be eligible to receive federal and state capital and operating revenues must be derived state
- adequate lot and office space. reached capacity, and marchet rapacity, and marchet rapid be inevitable. have no room for the Shared Facility -Currently, CTS and both continued UTS have both system growth lack

In summary, we believe that it makes good sense to begin planning for a full consolidation of the two systems. At this time, we are asking for an indication of support for the concept of a unified system. Extensive staff work will be required to produce the information necessary to make final determinations about the merger. Upon your approval, we will initiate this project.

A regional transit authority of Charlottesville, University of Virocommunities, and we are excited about Virginia bout the p holds potential and much promise Albemarle benefits. for County